

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,679	09/28/2001	David Teller	TELL-0007-1	3487	
75	90 02/23/2004		EXAMINER		
Jagtiani & Guttag 10363-A Democracy Lane Fairfax, VA 22030			BUTLER, MICHAEL E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3653	.	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 $\leq W$

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/964,679

Applicant(s)

Teller

Examiner

Michael E. Butler

Art Unit **3653**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	for Reply .						
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
mailing date of this communication.							
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 27, 20	003		· ·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted	d or b)	objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)		-					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	·					
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d.				
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have			dication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) 🔲 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTC	0-413) Paper No(s)			
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Info	rmal Paten	t Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Applicant elected invention I in paper 15 with traverse. Applicant cited a typographical error in claim number on the group III as the grounds for such traversal. The Office concurs with that argument and resulting election difficulty involving the resultant ambiguity between Groups III & IV.

In order to give applicant every opportunity to make an election on the invention of preference with proper claim numbers and unambiguous claims scope, the previous restriction is withdrawn and a corrected restriction requirement is made. The Office apologizes for the typo interfering with applicant's proper consideration of invention groups III and IV.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-28 drawn to a method in a controlling dispensing access control, classified in class 700, subclass 237.
 - II. Claims 29-41 drawn to a method of billing restaurant customers, classified in class 705.
 - III. Claims 42-53 drawn to a device for distributed remote control of dispensing apparatus, classified in class 700 subclass 242.
 - IV. Claims 54-62 drawn to a dispenser monitoring apparatus, classified in class 700 subclass 244.
- 3. Inventions I, II, IV, and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has

Serial Number: 09/964479

Art Unit: 3653

separate utility such as securing valuables. See MPEP § 806.05(d). In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as restocking of inventory. MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page: 3

Invention III has separate utility such as controlling remote displays. In the instant case, Invention IV has separate utility such as verifying fault condition or status.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. No claims appear to be linking claims.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exmr. Michael E. Butler whose telephone number is (703) 308-8344.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax number for the Group is (703) 305-7687.

Michael E. Butler Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600